

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 (Western Division—Los Angeles)
11

12 DAVID HOUGH, *et al.*,
13 Plaintiffs,
14 v.
15 RYAN CARROLL, *et al.*,
16 Defendants.
17
18

Case No. 2:24-cv-02886-WLH-SK

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANT WELLS FARGO BANK,
N.A.’S MOTION TO DISMISS
SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT**

19 On January 17, 2025, Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. moved to dismiss the
20 Second Amended Complaint (“SAC”) filed by plaintiffs David Hough, Amund
21 Thompson, Isabel Ramos, Anthony Ramos, and Michael Nibarger. The bases for Wells
22 Fargo’s motion to dismiss are Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 9(b) and 12(b)(6).

23 The Court, having considered Wells Fargo’s motion and finding good cause
24 therefor, hereby GRANTS the motion in its entirety with prejudice.

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 Dated:

27 _____
HON. WESLEY L. HSU
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE